

# GAJAH



# GAJAH

## JOURNAL OF THE ASIAN ELEPHANT SPECIALIST GROUP

**GAJAH** is a biannual journal of the Asian Elephant Specialist Group of the IUCN-Species Survival Commission (SSC). It is published with financial assistance from the World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF) with the following aims:-

1. To highlight the plight of the Asian Elephant
2. To promote the conservation of the Asian Elephant, and
3. To provide a forum for communication amongst the members of the AESG.

The Editor of **GAJAH** welcomes manuscripts and communications dealing with all aspects of Asian Elephant conservation and management for consideration for publication. All original papers will be reviewed by referees. Contributions should be typed, double spaced, on one side of A4 paper, or submitted on disks (WordPerfect 5.1 or 6.0). All material may be reprinted unless it has been used in **GAJAH** from an identified source publication, in which case, no reprint is authorised except upon permission of the source publication. Reprinted material should bear the author's name and credit to **GAJAH** should be given. The Editor would appreciate copies of any material so used. The articles published in **GAJAH** do not necessarily reflect the views or opinions of the Asian Elephant Specialist Group (AESG), Species Survival Commission (SSC), World Conservation Union (IUCN), World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF), or the Editor.

### *Editor*

**Prof. CHARLES SANTIAPILLAI**

Department of Zoology  
University of Peradeniya  
Peradeniya, Sri Lanka

### *Editorial Board*

**Mr Lyn de Alwis**  
30 Hotel Road  
Mt. Lavinsia  
Sri Lanka

**Mr J.C. Daniel**  
Bombay Natural History Society  
Shahid Bhagat Singh Road  
Bombay 400 023, India

**Dr Raman Sukumar**  
Centre for Ecological Sciences  
Indian Institute of Science  
Bangalore 560 012, India

**Prof. D.K. Lahiri-Choudhury**  
Department of English  
Rabindra Bharati University  
Calcutta, India

### SUBSCRIPTIONS

Annual subscription price for two issues: Sri Lanka: Rs. 200.00. Overseas delivery: US\$ 20.00.  
(inclusive of postage and packing). Subscription orders should be addressed to:-

**Prof. Charles Santiapillai**  
Department of Zoology  
University of Peradeniya  
Peradeniya, Sri Lanka

# GAJAH

JOURNAL OF THE ASIAN ELEPHANT SPECIALIST GROUP

Number 17, January 1997

*Editor*


CHARLES SANTIAPILLAI

*Associate Editor*

LYN DE ALWIS

**IUCN**  
The World Conservation Union





## ELEPHANT CAPTURE IN MEGHALAYA, NORTH EAST INDIA - THE PAST AND THE FUTURE

A. Christy Williams & A.J.T. Johnsingh  
Wildlife Institute of India, P.O. Box # 18  
Dehra Dun - 248 001, India.

### INTRODUCTION

The fragmentation and degradation of elephant habitats in India has led to rising levels of elephant - human conflict. Factors beyond the control of wildlife managers, e.g. high human population growth rates, have made the task of finding solutions to the conflict impossible. Under such a scenario it is desirable to reduce the number of elephants or keep the population size more or less constant for specific problem elephant populations. Capturing of elephants from populations involved in high rates of conflict for domestication has been suggested before as a strategy to achieve the above objective. This has to be done in a manner which does not endanger the viability of the population. Sukumar (1993) suggests that a population of about 100 to 300 elephants would have a high probability (>99%) of survival for the next hundred years. Wildlife managers should try to maintain these minimum sizes even in problem elephant populations (*op. cit.*). This is so because options might emerge in the future to help solve the elephant - human conflict.

The west Garo hills district in the north-east Indian state of Meghalaya is a place with high rates of elephants - human conflict (Williams & Johnsingh, 1996). A small population of about 160 elephants is spread over an area of 1000km<sup>2</sup> (Williams & Johnsingh, 1996). Much of this area is under shifting cultivation (*jhum*). As *jhum* settlements are interspersed with forest areas, elephants encounter crop fields, which have little or no protection, and raid them as the crops provide an easy source of highly nutritious food (Sukumar, 1991). The prospect of finding a solution to the conflict in this region is directly related to finding an alternative to the practice of *jhum*.

The human population in Meghalaya, has increased by 32% between 1981 and 1991 (Anon, 1992). This kind of high population growth rates will further reduce the already short *jhum* cycles thus further degrading the habitats and increasing patchiness in the area. Studies suggest that a *jhum* cycle of at least 10 years is considered necessary for *jhum* to be viable economically and energetically (Ramakrishan, 1992). It was found that more than eighty percent of the villages surveyed in west Garo hills had a *jhum* cycle less than 10 years (Williams & Johnsingh, 1996). The results of that study suggested that current level of *jhuming* in West Garo hills is unviable. However the chance of finding an alternative to *jhum* seems to be bleak in this region. Hence it is in the wildlife manager's interest to reduce or atleast prevent the elephant-human conflict from increasing. One of the ways this could be done is to reduce or keep stable the current elephant numbers by capturing. Requests to reduce problem elephant populations by capture have already come from the various state Governments (Anon, 1994;1995).

A number of studies have been carried out, using mathematical models and computer simulations, to explore the effect of increased mortality in the various age - sex categories on the elephant population growth rates ( Sukumar, 1991; Hanks, 1981, Hanks & McIntosh, 1973 ). However since reducing elephant populations has been a sensitive subject in India, no one has looked at the effects of removal of elephants, by capturing, on a population.

In this paper, we present details about the age-sex structure of elephants captured during the 1980 *mela-shikar* operation in Meghalaya and discuss the implications of allowing the practice to resume in the west Garo hills to combat elephant - human conflict using a modelling approach.

## METHODS

Elephant capture, using the traditional *mela-shikar* method was carried out in this elephant range till 1982. Information on estimated age, shoulder height, sex of the elephants and place of capture were got from the Meghalaya Forest Department records. The ages of these elephants were estimated from shoulder heights as given by Sukumar, Joshi & Krishnamoorthy (1988).

The west Garo hills elephant range lies approximately between 90°0'E and 90°25'E and 25°25'N and 25°55'N. Further details about the area and elephants can be found in Williams & Johnsingh (1996). To explore the effects of capturing elephants of various age - sex categories, the program VORTEX (Lacy, 1993; Lacy, Hughes & Kreeger, 1995) was used. The following parameters were used; The birth probability was taken as 0.20/ adult female/ year. The annual age - specific mortality rates were as follows (see Sukumar, 1993 for more details); Female elephants; 10% (age 0-1 year), 4% (age 1-5 years), 2% (age 5-15 years) and 1.5% (>15 year). Male elephants: 15% (0-1 year), 8% (5-15 years), 6% (>15 years).

The deterministic growth rate ( $r$ ) of the population as calculated from life table analysis of the female segment using the above parameters was 0.02. This means that the population was growing at the rate of about 2% a year. Then the adult female mortality constant was held at 1.5% and the mortality rate for all other age - sex classes was doubled. This gave a deterministic growth rate ( $r$ ) of 0.007, i.e. the population is growing at the rate of 0.7%. Environmental stochasticity is built into the model which samples from binomial probability distributions, where the standard deviation (SD) specifies the yearly fluctuations. SD in birth probability was taken to be 25% of the mean and SD in annual mortality rates for the various age-sex classes were taken as 20% of the mean. We also modelled a catastrophe such as a disease epidemic with 0.5% chance of occurrence and which would reduce the survival to 90% of its' original value. The Meghalaya Forest Department census figure of 166 elephants for this area (Anon, 1994) was used as the starting population. The carrying capacity was set at 400 elephants which was more than twice the population's starting size. It has also been assumed that in the case of sufficient animals not being available, in some of the years in the harvested age-sex classes, animals from other age-sex classes will not be captured.

The following scenarios were modelled;

1. Capturing 10 juveniles or sub-adult elephants (6 females: 4 males) every alternate year for ten years,
2. Capturing 10 adult females every alternate year for ten years and,
3. Capturing 10 adult elephants (6 females: 4 males) every alternate year for ten years.

For all the scenarios modelled it was specified that the capture should take place every alternate year as it would provide sufficient time for training and disposal of captured elephants. We have modelled captures only for ten years with the hope options to manage them otherwise would be available in ten year's time. All the population projections were for 100 years and were run 1000 times.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We got complete records for 23 elephants which were captured during the year 1980; Five of the captures were in south Garo hills and 18 captures were from Myllem and Khyriem Syiemship in the Khasi hills. The age-sex structure of the captured animals can be seen in Fig. 1. There seems to be a clear preference for elephants in the younger age classes. This may be due to the fact that younger animals are easy to capture and domesticate.

The male: female ratio of the captured animals is 1:1.3. However, the tusker: makhna (tuskless males) ratio is 1:1.5. Makhnas when young, are difficult to distinguish from females in the field and one suspects that young makhnas were misidentified as females and captured. If this is true then there seems to be a preference for female elephants. Adult male elephants go into *musth* for about two to three months every year. They are usually tied up during this period as they become uncontrollable and this represents a substantial loss of working days for the owners. This is not the case with female elephants and that may be one of the reasons for preferring female elephants, if such a preference exists.

The results of the various scenarios modelled under different deterministic growth rates can be seen in Tables 1 & 2. It can be seen for a population which is growing at around 2% annually, capturing only juvenile and sub-adult elephants or capturing adult elephants (6 females: 4 males) produce identical results. The 10th year population is about 85% of the population size at year 1. However if only adult females are captured, the 10th year population size is only about 75% of the population size at year 1.

When the growth rates fall to little over half a percent due to increased mortality in the various age-sex classes, with the exception of adult females, the three scenarios produce vastly different results (Table 2). The 10th year population sizes under scenarios 2 and 3, when deterministic  $r = 0.007$ , are about 65% and 58% of the population size at year 1. However, capturing juvenile and sub-adult elephants produces identical population sizes at year 10 under different population growth rates (Tables 1 & 2).